The Way Forward in Highway Sector

By A.V.Sinha Former Director General (Road Development) & Special Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways

The Present status

•	Total NH network:	70,934 km	
•	Development by NHAI in :	50,024 km (n	et 41,824 km)
	(a) Various Phases of National Highway Development Project (NHDP): 47866 km		
	NHDP Phase I (Golden Quadrilateral):		5846 km
	NHDP Phase II (North-South- East West corridor):		7300 km
	NHDP Phase III (Four laning of other NHS):		12,109 km
	NHDP Phase IV (Two lane with paved shoulders):		14,799 km
	NHDP Phase V (Six laning of GQ and others):		6,500 km
	NHDP Phase VI (Expressways):		1000 km
	NHDP Phase VII (Bypasses, Ring Roads, Flyovers):		700 km
	(b) Port Connectivity:		380 km
	(c) Other NHS:		1390 km
	(d) SARDP-NE:		388 km

The Present status (...contd)

(As on 30.09.2011)

- Completed: 16,207 km
- Under implementation: 11,818 km
- Balance for award: 21,841 km

Thus, opportunity available for investment in over 20,000 km of development of four lane highways on DBFOT

Need for paradigm shift

- Nearly 16,000 km (almost one third of the approved programme) of National highways constructed,
 - The lessons learnt in Planning, procurement and construction should be applied to the balance two third projects
 - Operation and maintenance of this sizeable length of the developed network should be devised
 - The focus should shift from construction to safe and efficient operation

The lessons learnt

 Divided highways cause severance (splitting the community) and cross movement facilities must be provided for

– Underpasses at convenient locations

- Developed highways encourage high speed driving and therefore, slow and vulnerable road users must be segregated
 - Service roads for segregation and ubderpasse for crossing and circulation

The lessons learnt

- Developed highways fuel economic activities and developments along side the highway unleashing demands for access, which must be regulated
 - If such developments part of local development plan, access roads to be provided by local authority
 - Access to NH at predetermined points and not on any other basis

The lessons learnt (...contd)

- The curtailed access facilities for road side establishments results in creation of unsafe and unauthorised accesses, which must not be allowed and appropriate facilities provided for
- In public consultation, the views of public not properly articulated, necessitating changes post award, which must be avoided by proper consultation

The lessons learnt (..contd)

- During design, a hesitation in bringing any innovation (materials, method, technology)
 - Stabilized materials, Reclaimed Asphalt, GeoSynthetics, Stone Matrix Asphalt, Porous Asphalt,
 - International design methods and standards
 - Long span bridges, precast, prefabricated components and launching technology, recycling of pavements, eosynthetic drains, retaing walls, gabions

Such innovations in design would enable better performing pavement and structures, will conserve natural materials and environment, will enable faster construction and better aesthetics

These innovations are permitted in the Manual

The lessons learnt (...contd)

- During construction users suffer inconvenience and lack of safety
 - Inadequate traffic management
 - Inadequate road signs
 - Lack of safety provisions
- Construction interrupted due to land acquisition, utility removal and delay in environmental clearance
 - Better coordination with State Governments
 - Better cooperation of the State Governments
 - Better planning and monitoring of preconstruction activities

The lessons learnt (...contd)

- During **operation** period there is room for improvement through better management and monitoring,
 - Timely maintenance intervention
 - Safety and emergency management
 - Toll Operation

Where things can go wrong

- If the Concessionaire suffers pre-construction delays affecting his revenue not compensated by damages under the concession agreement
- If the Client fails to fulfil the conditions precedent
- If there is no strong design team with the concessionaire
- If the actual execution is given to small contractors having little or no experience of executing big projects
- If there is no strong management team with the concessionaire to oversee and coordinate the works of various contractors
- If on ownership change, the new controlling stake holders lack experience in operation and management and do not hire a strong team
- If the overall project delivery and operation and management turns out to be unsatisfactory for some reason making the concessionaire financially liable

What if things go wrong?

• In Client's view the design, construction or operation do not meet the requirements of the concession agreement

and

• The Concessionaire contests this view

then

 A dispute arises and has to be settled through long drawn arbitration process (likely to be) followed by litigation

In such an event,

Users suffer due to shifting of focus from design, construction and operation to dispute settlement and litigation

Client subjected to public criticism for (a) not ensuring proper service, and (b) not solving the disputes

Concessionaire left at the mercy of the outcome of dispute settlement and litigation and runs the risk of financial loss

Dispute, therefore, a loose-loose proposition and must be avoided by proactive action by both Client and the Concessionaire

The Operation Focus

- Experience of operation of 16000 km of divided highway suggests that the focus should shift to operation
 - Operational features in design
 - User information System
 - Operation system
 - Toll Operation

- Design should have safety and operation focus
 - Access control (predetermined access points through service roads, junction design and spacing, acceleration deceleration lengths, fencing, regulated U-turn/ right turn, median openings, etc)
 - Segregations (slow moving and vulnerable traffic not to be exposed to high speed traffic, circulation and cross over facilities for segregated traffic
 - Service roads (to permit two way traffic, to be means for providing access and circulation, to be added as and when required)
 - Underpasses (to have sufficient width and clearance, exclusive pedestrian facilities

- An effective user information system
 - Road signs (advance information, information and reassurance, standard colour, font size, visibility, installation, symbols and word messages)
 - Separate signs for construction zone
 - Road markings (lanes, shoulders, travelled way, chevrons, arrow markings, stop, give way, no overtaking)
 - Signs and markings should complement each other
 - Variable message signs (based on real time road and traffic conditions)

- The **Operation system** under the concession agreement should,
 - Detect the need for emergency maintenance and intervene (damaged road, safety structures, accidents and road blocks)
 - Address violation of safety and traffic norms (cooperation with Police for helping enforcement)
 - Gather real time information (Video cameras installed at vantage locations)
 - Quick response (dedicated teams on high alert to respond to the needs of the road and road users)
 - Arrangements and protocol with Emergency Services (Ambulance services, trauma care centres, fire services, crane providers, state Police Department)
 - Traffic Control Centre to monitor and coordinate the operational activities

- Toll operation is the most visible part of DBFOT concession and should be fair, smooth, efficient, courteous and hassel free
 - Automatic Vehicle classification and toll charging
 - Aim at quickest possible service time (say not more than 10 seconds) and remove the irritants (like shortage of change, discussion and arguments, verification of passes or documents)
 - Operate extra booths or reversible lanes during peak periods to avoid build up of queues
 - Promote tag lanes and tag use
 - Be fair to local users and monthly pass holders
 - Be transparent in reconciliation of cash collection and the cash being deposited to the Escrow Account without loss of time
 - Should have a public grievance reddressal system
 - Should aim at earning users good will rather than hostility

In conclusion

- DBFOT system has come to stay and every one has a stake in its success
- Beyond the point of Model Concession Agreement, which is in the public domain, the system is virtually unregulated
 - The success of the system will depend upon self regulation by parties to the agreement
- The concessionaires should view the ownership of the projects not only in financial terms but as a part of the national asset
 - Design, construction and operation should, therefore, be in mission mode
- The written concession agreement can never capture the spirit of the agreement, which is providing public good for a consideration
 - Therefore, disputes must be avoided as it will be a loose-loose proposition and spell disaster for the future of DBFOT

Thank you for your attention